## Review



# $S_N$ i fragmentations of alkoxychlorocarbenes – a perspective

#### Robert A. Moss,\* Xiaolin Fu and Ronald R. Sauers

Department of Chemistry and Chemical Biology, Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey, New Brunswick, New Jersey, 08903, USA

Received 28 July 2006; accepted 1 August 2006

ABSTRACT: After a review of the early literature concerning  $S_N$  fragmentations of alkyl chlorosulfites and chloroformates, we present experimental and computational results for the  $S_N$ i fragmentations of alkoxychlorocarbenes. Examples include cyclohexyloxychlorocarbene, 7-norbornyloxychlorocarbene, 3-nortricyclyloxychlorocarbene, exo-5-norbornenyl-2-oxychlorocarbene, and endo-5-norbornenyl-2-oxychlorocarbene. Computations generate a 'family' of closely related charge-separated  $S_N$ i structures which approximate asynchronous, polar, concerted transition states and tight, short-lived ion pair intermediates. The precise description and behavior of these species in a given reaction depends on the identities of the alkyl group, the potential counterion, and the solvent. Copyright  $\odot$  2007 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

KEYWORDS: alkoxychlorocarbenes; concerted transition states; ion pairs;  $S_N$ i reactions

#### INTRODUCTION

The designation  $S_N$ i (substitution, nucleophilic, internal) was early applied to the conversion of an alcohol by thionyl chloride to an alkyl chloride with stereochemical retention.<sup>1</sup> This transformation was depicted as proceeding via a 4-center rearrangement of an intermediate alkyl chlorosulfite (1); eqn  $(1)$ .<sup>1</sup>

$$
\frac{1}{2}C-OH \longrightarrow \frac{1}{2}C \longrightarrow SO \longrightarrow \frac{1}{2}C-CI + SO_2 \quad (1)
$$

It was also noted that a similar analysis applied to the thermal decomposition of alkyl chloroformates in the absence of base, which also gave alkyl chlorides with retention of configuration.<sup>1b</sup>

Early physical organic chemistry textbooks offered concerted mechanisms for the decomposition of 1, as in Hine's representation  $(2)$ ,<sup>2</sup> although it was recognized that ionic character was often attached to  $S_N$ i reactions.



\*Correspondence to: R. A. Moss, Department of Chemistry and Chemical Biology, Rutgers University, New Brunswick, NJ 08903, USA.

E-mail: moss@rutchem.rutgers.edu

Copyright  $\odot$  2007 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.  $J. Phys.$  Org. Chem. 2007; 20: 1–10

Thus, Hughes and Ingold had already noted that the  $S_N$ i decomposition of alkyl chlorosulfites involved competition with both  $S_N^2$  and  $S_N^1$  mechanisms, as shown in Scheme  $1<sup>1</sup>$ 

In this scheme, the addition of pyridine liberated chloride for an inverting  $S_N2$  attack on ROSOPy<sup>+</sup> or ROSOCl, whereas ionization to a carbocation and an ensuing  $S_N1$  reaction competed in the absence of base and in a good ionizing solvent, especially if the R group supported ionization (e.g.,  $\alpha$ -phenylethyl).<sup>1</sup>

Cram proposed that  $S_N$ i and  $S_N$ 1 reactions both involved initial ionization to ion pairs.<sup>3</sup> In the  $S_Ni$ variation, a complex anion (e.g.,  $SO_2Cl^-$ ) afforded a daughter anion  $(Cl^-)$  which led to product more rapidly than competing modes of nucleophilic attack.<sup>3</sup> Gould's<sup>4</sup> textbook emphasized this point, modifying the 4-center transition state for chlorosulfite fragmentation (2) to reflect ion pair character, as in intermediate 3.

Lewis and Boozer<sup>5</sup> made a careful stereochemical and kinetics study of the decomposition of secondary alkyl chlorosulfites in a variety of solvents. The reactions were first order in either ethereal (e.g., dioxane) or non-ethereal solvents (e.g., toluene), with stereochemical retention in the former and inversion in the latter. They suggested<sup>5b</sup> an initial S—Cl cleavage to ion pair 4, as postulated by Hughes and Ingold (Scheme  $1$ ).<sup>1</sup> In dioxane, rear-side solvation of 4 would lead to loss of  $SO<sub>2</sub>$  and formation of the oxonium ion (5), which would 'invert' the stereochemistry at the



#### Scheme 1

chiral center. Subsequent inverting attack on 5 by Cl would then afford RCl with overall retention, that is, two inversions lead to retention. Solvents like toluene, however, would not attack ion pair 4, and rear-side substitution by Cl<sup>-</sup> directly on RSO<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup> would give inverted RCl.<sup>5b</sup>

Hine<sup>2</sup> and Gould<sup>4</sup> also consider  $S_N$  reactions on alkyl  $chloroformates$ ,<sup>1</sup> with Gould offering 4-center ion pair intermediate 6. Studies of  $\alpha$ -arylethyl chloroformates by Wiberg and Shyrne $<sup>6</sup>$  accord with this formulation. The</sup> conversions of these substrates to  $\alpha$ -arylethyl chlorides occur with retention in either dioxane or toluene with  $\rho$ values of  $-3.86$  or  $-3.56$ , respectively.<sup>6</sup>



Formation of an  $\alpha$ -arylmethyl cation chloroformate anion ion pair (7) is considered the rate-limiting step, with subsequent breakdown of the anion to  $Cl^-$  and  $CO_2$ .<sup>6</sup> Indeed, the reaction of  $\alpha$ -phenylethanol with SOCl<sub>2</sub> also gives the chloride with retention in either solvent, $6$  as was recognized by Hughes and Ingold, $\frac{1}{1}$  for which one can draw the analogous ion pair (8). In a related experiment, Lewis et  $al$ <sup>7</sup> found that the gas phase decomposition of 2-butyl chloroformate gave 2-chlorobutane with complete retention of configuration. Because ionic character should be suppressed in the gas phase, the stereochemical outcome was attributed to a 4-center cyclic transition state.<sup>7</sup>

Subsequent years witnessed waning interest in 'classical'  $S_N$ i reactions. For example, the  $S_N$ i mechanism is not explicitly considered in the well-known textbooks by Lowry and Richardson,<sup>8</sup> Carey and Sundberg,<sup>9</sup> Carroll,<sup>10</sup> or Ansyln and Dougherty.<sup>11</sup> Only March presents a brief mechanistic discussion of the  $S_{\text{N}}$  reaction.<sup>12</sup> It is also ironic that many undergraduate organic chemistry textbooks discuss the reaction of alcohols with thionyl chloride, and the associated stereochemistry, without mentioning the  $S_N$  designation.

More recently, important contributions were made by Schreiner, Schleyer, and Hill. $13,14$  Noting that the

concerted 4-center front-side mechanism (2) is at least formally forbidden by the Woodward–Hoffmann rules, they presented ion pair mechanisms for alkyl chlorosulfite  $S_N$ i reactions. In their view, primary ROSOCl ionize to alkylsulfinyl cations  $(ROSO<sup>+</sup>)$ and  $Cl^-$  in a rate-determining step, followed by  $Cl^$ attack at either the front or back side of the cation in the subsequent product determining step. Tertiary ROSOCl, in contrast, ionize directly to carbocations and chlorosulfinyl anions  $(R<sup>+</sup> -OSOCI)$ , with subsequent reaction of  $R^+$  and  $Cl^-$  (derived from  $\overline{O}SOCl$ ). Secondary ROSOCl may ionize in either fashion, depending on the structure of R and the solvent polarity.<sup>13</sup>

Schreiner *et al.* propose differentiating ' $S_N^2$ -like' and  $S_N1$ -like'  $S_N$ i mechanisms, as illustrated in Schemes 2 and 3. In the ' $S_N 2i$ ' mechanism of Scheme 2, a primary alkyl chlorosulfite ionizes to  $ROSO<sup>+</sup>$  and  $Cl<sup>-</sup>$ , followed by  $Cl^-$  attack on the cation from either the back side (TS 9) or the front side (TS 10), affording RCl with inversion or retention, respectively.

In the ' $S_N1i'$ ' mechanism of Scheme 3, a tertiary alkyl chlorosulfite ( $R = t$ -butyl or 1-adamantyl) fragments to a tertiary carbocation and OSOCl<sup> $-$ </sup>. The anion yields Cl<sup> $-$ </sup>, which can then attack the carbocation at either face (cf., 11), giving RCl with significant racemization. Depending on the structure of R and the solvent polarity, which affect the lifetimes of the ions, more or less retention may be superimposed on the racemization.



Scheme 2

DOI: 10.1002/poc



Scheme 3

Evidence for the  $S_N2i$  process of Scheme 2 includes the formation of unrearranged neopentyl chloride from neopentyl chlorosulfite and the (slow) formation of apocamphanyl chloride from the corresponding chlorosultfite. Both of these conversions are presumed to involve initial S—Cl ionizations and subsequent front side transition states analogous to  $10^{13}$ 

Schreiner et al. also computed transition states for the  $S_N$ i reactions of methyl and ethyl chlorosulfite  $[MP2(fc)/6-31 + G^*]/HF/6-31G^*]$ .<sup>14</sup> Both front and back side transition states were computed for the attack of Cl on  $ROSO<sup>+</sup>$ . For  $R = Me$  in simulated hexane, front side was favored over back side attack by 4 kcal/mol, whereas, in simulated methanol, back side Cl<sup>-</sup> attack was preferred by a similar margin. With  $R = Et$ , however, front side chloride attack was favored in both polar and non-polar solvents.<sup>14</sup> It is worth reproducing the computed transition states for the ethyl chlorosulfite decompositions for later comparison to the fragmentation transitions states of alkoxychlorocarbenes.

## S<sub>N</sub>i REACTIONS OF ALKOXYCHLOROCARBENES

We have examined the fragmentations of alkoxychlorocarbenes (ROCCl) as a means of generating  $(R<sup>+</sup> Cl<sup>-</sup>)$ ion pairs in various solvents:<sup>15,16</sup>

$$
\overset{\text{RO}}{\text{C}}\underset{\text{C}}{\bigtimes}\overset{\text{N}}{\underset{\text{N}}{\parallel}}\xrightarrow{\text{hv}}\text{ROCCI}\xrightarrow{\text{CCI}}\left[\begin{array}{c}\text{R}^{\text{+}}\text{OC}\text{ CI}\end{array}\right]\xrightarrow{\text{COL}}\text{RCI}
$$

Computational studies at the B3LYP/6-31G\* level afforded transition states which were consistent with the 'tight' ion pairs suggested by experiment and, in the limit, approached structures associated with the classical  $S_N$ i mechanism.<sup>1,17</sup> For example, the computed front side TS for the fragmentation of EtOCCl is shown in Fig.  $2$ ,  $17$ where the similarity to the front side TS for the fragmentation of EtOSOCl (Fig.  $1^{14}$ ) is apparent. Note especially the separations of the  $CH<sub>2</sub>$  carbon and Cl  $(3.10 \text{ Å})$  and O  $(2.23 \text{ Å})$  for the carbene fragmentation of Fig. 2, in comparison to the analogous distances  $(2.93 \text{ Å})$ and  $2.41 \text{ Å}$ ) for the EtOSOCl fragmentation of Fig. 1. The C—O bond length  $(r3)$  in Fig. 2 is 1.16 Å, en route to the CO bond length of  $1.128 \text{ Å}^{17}$  In parallel, the (internal) S—O bond length of EtOSOCl  $(1.58 \text{ Å})$  contracts to 1.45 Å in the front side TS of Fig.  $1.^{14}$  (We were unable to locate a back side fragmentation TS for EtOCCl.)



Figure 1. Transition states for the front side (left) and back side (right) fragmentations of ethyl chlorosulfite. (Reprinted with permission from ref. 14; copyright 1994 American Chemical Society)



Figure 2. Computed TS for the front side fragmentation of EtOCCI:  $r1 = 2.227 \text{ Å}$ ,  $r2 = 3.102 \text{ Å}$ ,  $r3 = 1.162 \text{ Å}$ ,  $r4 =$ 2.589 Å,  $\alpha = 117.7^{\circ}$ . (Reprinted with permission from ref. 17; copyright 1999 American Chemical Society)

The fragmentations of ROCCl to RCl also closely resemble the S<sub>N</sub>i decompositions of alkyl chloroformates to alkyl chlorides (cf., structures  $6-8$ ):<sup>1,6,7</sup> CO is expelled from the carbenes, whereas  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  is expelled from the chloroformates. Indeed, when the R group affords a relatively stable cabocation and the solvent is polar, identical  $R<sup>+</sup>Cl<sup>-</sup>$  ion pairs arise from either the alkoxychlorocarbene or the alkyl chloroformate. For example, fragmentations in MeOH/CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> of 3-homoadamantyl chloroformate (12) or 3-homoadamantyloxychlorocarbene (13) give the same 3-homoadamantyl cation chloride



anion pair (14).<sup>18</sup> Identical ROMe/RCl product ratios were obtained from either precursor,<sup>18</sup> and the time required for equilibration of the ion pair with its solvent cage was determined to be  $\sim$  20–30 ps by laser flash photolysis experiments.<sup>19,20</sup>

Of special note are the very low activation energies required for the carbene fragmentations. B3LYP/6-31G<sup>\*</sup> values for the fragmentations of ROCCl in vacuum are only 9.2 kcal/mol with  $R = i$ -propyl and 6.7 kcal/mol with  $R =$  benzyl. Even with  $R =$  ethyl (Fig. 2), front side fragmentation is calculated to require 25.5 kcal/mol in vacuum and 17.9 kcal/mol in simulated methanol. Experimental results are in accord with the calculations. For instance, the measured activation energy for fragmentation of 1-norbornyloxychlorocarbene (15) to 1-norbornyl chloride in dichloroethane is only 9.0 kcal/ mol.<sup>21</sup> An even lower  $E_a$  of 3.5 kcal/mol was determined for the conversion of 7-norbornyloxychlorocarbene to 7-norbornyl chloride.<sup>22</sup>

Given the low activation energies for the fragmentations of ROCCl to RCl, it is not surprising that these reactions readily occur in hydrocarbon solvents.<sup>23</sup> It is under such conditions, particularly with secondary ROCCl, that experimental and computational studies point to fragmentation mechanisms that closely approach the classical  $S_N$ i paradigm.<sup>1</sup> To illustrate this, we will now discuss several specific reaction systems.

## THE CYCLOHEXYL SYSTEM

Cyclohexyloxychlorocarbene (16), generated from diazirine 17 by photolysis in pentane, gave 53% of cyclohexyl chloride and  $42\%$  of cyclohexene.<sup>23</sup> The substitution branch of this reaction seemed a propitious place to prospect for the  $S_N$ i mechanism, and it was examined with B3LYP/6-31G(d) methodology.<sup>24</sup> We located two transition states for the fragmentation of equatorial 16 to cyclohexyl chloride; cf., Fig. 3.



One TS led with inversion to axial cyclohexyl chloride via a back side attack, whereas the second TS led with retention to equatorial cyclohexyl chloride via a front side process. Importantly, intrinsic reaction coordinate (IRC) calculations revealed no minima between the TS and product for either pathway (see below); both appeared to be direct  $S_N$ i-like processes. Moreover, they were nearly isoenthalpic: the calculated values of  $\Delta H^{\ddagger}$  were 17.0 kcal/ mol for the inversion pathway and 17.9 kcal/mol for retention.24,25

It is worthwhile noting the similarities in the essential C—O and C—Cl separations in the front side and back side transition states computed for the fragmentations of carbene 16 (Fig. 3) and ethyl chlorosulfite (Fig. 1). Furthermore, the near identical enthalpies of activation calculated for the two fragmentation modes of 16 imply that both should occur with comparable frequency. We therefore predict that a stereochemically-labeled equatorial cyclohexyloxychlorocarbene should fragment in vacuum or in a hydrocarbon solvent with a significant loss of stereospecificity. Indeed, the fragmentation of trans-4 methylcyclohexyloxychlorocarbene (18) in pentane gave



DOI: 10.1002/poc

2.387 Å 2.307Å 2.673 Å 3.111Å 3.138 Å 2.794

Figure 3. B3LYP/6-31G(d) transition states for the fragmentation of cyclohexyloxychlorocarbene (16) to cyclohexyl chloride with inversion (left) or retention (right). (Reprinted with permission from ref. 24; copyright 2005 American Chemical Society)

trans-1-chloro-4-methylcyclohexane (19) and cis-1 chloro-4-methylcyclohexane (20) in a ratio of  $2.3:1.^{24}$ 

Similar results were obtained in the fragmentation of  $D$ -labeled 7-norbornyloxychlorocarbene (21).<sup>22</sup> Again, computational studies located inversion and



retention  $S_N$ i-type transition states leading to 7-norbornyl chloride isotopomers 22 and 23 (Fig. 4). IRC calculations verified that each TS proceeded to product in the forward direction and returned to carbene 21 in the reverse direction; there was no indication of an intermediate.

Computed  $\Delta H^{\ddagger}$  values for each pathway were comparable, with  $\Delta H^{\ddagger}$  (inversion) = 22.2 and  $\Delta H^{\ddagger}$  $(retention) = 23.3 kcal/mol$  in vacuum. (We do not believe the accuracy of these calculations exceeds  $\pm$  1 kcal/mol). Experimentally, the fragmentation of carbene 21 in CDCl<sub>3</sub> gave chlorides 23 and 22 in a ratio of  $3.5:1$ ,



**Figure 4.** B3LYP/6-31G(d) transition states for the fragmentations (in vacuum) of carbene 21 to chlorides 22 with inversion (left) and 23 with retention (right). The deuterium marker is omitted from the computed structures. (Reprinted from ref. 22 with permission of the National Research Council of Canada)

Copyright  $\odot$  2007 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.  $J. Phys.$  Org. Chem. 2007; 20: 1–10

corresponding to 78% retention and 22% inversion.<sup>22</sup> The loss of stereospecificity can be attributed to competitive fragmentations via the retention or inversion transition states of Fig.  $4^{22}$  Less likely, in view of the high energy of the 7-norbornyl cation, is the intervention of ion pairs as agents of stereochemical randomization.

To further explore these phenomena, we turned to the nortricyclyl-norbornenyl system, where the relation between product distribution and ion pair intervention has been extensively studied.<sup>26</sup>

#### THE NORTRICYCLYL-NORBORNENYL SYSTEM

The nortricyclyl cation (24) and the 5-norbornen-2-yl cation (25) are generally regarded as canonical forms of a single resonance hybrid with a structure close to  $24.^{27}$ 



Accordingly, solvolyses of either nortricyclyl or 5 norbornen-2-yl sulfonates, as well as deaminations of the corresponding amines, afford similar product mixtures dominated by the nortricyclyl product, usually with a nortricyclyl/norbornenyl distribution of  $\sim 90:10^{26}$ 

Although a single nortricyclyl/norbornenyl cationic intermediate adequately accounts for the products,  $O \cdot \text{lab}^{28}$ notes an important qualification: the cation normally arises as part of an ion pair, and the precise nature of the cation 'clearly depends on the degree of ionization, the acid strength, the nucleophilicity of the solvent system, and possible ion pair effects.' In this regard, we might expect the product distributions from norbornenyl and nortricyclyl reactants to exhibit increasing 'memory' of precursor structure as the key 'intermediate' of the reaction mechanism morphs from a fully solvated cation, to a cation anion pair, to a  $S_N$  transition state. These considerations are well-illustrated by the fragmentations

of 3-nortricyclyl and 5-norbornen-2-yl oxychlorocarbenes.

Fragmentation of 3-nortricyclyloxychlorocarbene 26 (from the diazirine) in pentane gives only 3-nortricyclyl chloride (27); no (exo) 5-norbornen-2-yl chloride (28) is formed.<sup>26</sup> Repetition of this reaction in cyclohexane- $d_{12}$ also gives  $27$ , accompanied by traces of  $28.^{24}$  When carbene 26 is enriched in the  $(S)$  enantiomer (ee  $=$ 



46.5%), stereochemical analysis of chloride 27 indicates that the conversion proceeds with 91–96% racemization; product 27 contains only a slight excess of the  $(S)$ - enantionmer.<sup>24</sup> What causes the extensive racemization?

Computational studies afford both retention and inversion transition states for the fragmentation of carbene 26; cf., Fig. 5. The calculated activation parameters<sup>24,26</sup> are: retention,  $\Delta G^{\ddagger} = 12.0$  and  $\Delta H^{\ddagger} = 14.2$  kcal/mol; inversion,  $\Delta G^{\ddagger} = 11.1$  and  $\Delta H^{\ddagger} = 14.8$  kcal/mol. Again, both pathways are energetically comparable and should be competitive. Given the absence of norbornenyl chloride 28, indicating that ion pairs do not intervene,  $26$  the conversion of (S)-26 to near racemic 27 seems best attributed to competitive  $S_N$  fragmentations proceeding via the two nearly isoenergetic transition states of Fig. 5.<sup>24,26</sup>

Interestingly, in more polar CDCl<sub>3</sub> or  $CD_3CN$  solvents,  $10-11\%$  of norbornenyl chloride 28 appears,<sup>26</sup> and retention in the  $(S)$ -26  $\rightarrow$  (S)-27 conversion *increases* to 13% or 24%, respectively.<sup>24</sup> In the more polar solvents, the  $S_N$  transition states may evolve to ion pair 29, where chloride return with retention can account for the minor increase in retention.<sup>24</sup>

Direct stereochemical comparisons of the fragmentations of oxychlorocarbene 26 with those of the analogous chloroformate (30) and chlorosulfite (31) were carried out in CDCl<sub>3</sub>, CD<sub>3</sub>CN, and THF (for  $31$ ).<sup>29</sup> Similar results were obtained for all three substrates: in CDCl<sub>3</sub>, net



retention for the formation of  $(S)$ -27 was 13% from  $(S)$ -26 and  $22\%$  from (S)-30; in CD<sub>3</sub>CN, the corresponding values were 24% and 28%. From (S)-31 in THF, net retention was 28%. We conclude that in these moderately polar solvents, similar blends of  $S_N$ i and ion pair mechanisms are involved in the fragmentations of the three nortricyclyl precursors to nortricyclyl chloride. The extensive racemization is largely due to the competing retention and inversion  $S_N$  transition states, which for carbene 26 in hydrocarbon solvents, is effectively the exclusive mechanism.

A more complicated situation arises for the fragmentation of (S)-exo-5-norbornenyl-2-oxychlorocarbene (32) in  $C_6D_{12}$ , where the products include (S)-exo-5norbornenyl-2-chloride (33), (R)-endo-5-norbornenyl-2-chloride  $(34)$ , and  $(S)$ -3-nortricyclyl chloride  $(27)$  in a distribution of  $\sim$ 53:31:16, respectively.<sup>30</sup>



Conversion of  $(S)$ -32 to major product  $(S)$ -33 occurs with *complete retention* upon thermolysis of the diazirine precursor of carbene 32 at 25 $^{\circ}$ C.<sup>30</sup> This is clearly a S<sub>N</sub>i process, for which a transition state with  $\Delta G^{\ddagger} = 12.1 \text{ kcal/}$ mol (in vacuum) can be calculated, cf., Fig.  $6^{30,31}$ 

As the solvent becomes more polar, retention decreases for the  $(S)$ -32  $\rightarrow$   $(S)$ -33 conversion; it is only 60–70% in  $CDCl<sub>3</sub>$  or  $CD<sub>3</sub>CN$ . We suggest that the increased racemization stems from competitive fragmentation of 32 to ion pair 35, which affords either  $(S)$ -33 or  $(R)$ -33 upon collapse; cf., Scheme  $4^{30}$ 

Conversion of  $(S)$ -32 to *endo*-chloride  $(R)$ -34 occurs in part via the inversion S<sub>N</sub>i TS depicted in Fig. 6 ( $\Delta G^{\ddagger}$  is calculated at 11.6 kcal/mol<sup>26</sup>), but (R)-34 forms with only



Figure 5. B3LYP/6-31G(d) computed transition states for the conversion of 3-nortricyclyloxychlorocarbene (26) to 3-nortricyclyl chloride (27) with retention (left) or inversion (right). (Reprinted with permission from ref. 26; copyright 2004 American Chemical Society)

Copyright  $\odot$  2007 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.  $J. Phys.$  Org. Chem. 2007; 20: 1–10

DOI: 10.1002/poc



**Figure 6.** Transition states for the  $S_N$  fragmentations of carbene (S)-32 with retention (left) to  $(S)$ -33 or inversion (right) to  $(R)$ -34

 $\sim$ 18% ee. We attribute the extensive racemization to the incursion of ion pairs. A simple possibility involves migration of  $Cl^-$  from the exo to the endo face of the norbornenyl cation in ion pair 35 prior to collapse. Alternative ion pair pathways are discussed in Reference 30. With increasing solvent polarity, the formation of chloride 34 decreases; it is only 4–5% of the product mixture in CDCl<sub>3</sub> or CD<sub>3</sub>CN (with  $\sim$ 20% ee). In these solvents, the product mixture comprises mainly norbornenyl chloride  $33$  and nortricyclyl chloride.<sup>27</sup>

Chloride 27 forms from carbene 32 in  $\sim$ 17% yield in C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>12</sub>; the yield rises to  $\sim$ 36% in CDCl<sub>3</sub> or CD<sub>3</sub>CN. The stereochemistry of the conversion links  $(S)$ -32 and (S)-27 with 13–25% ee over the range of solvents. Focusing on its nortricyclyl cation canonical form, we suggest that ion pair 35 can account for the products and stereochemistry as illustrated by structure 36. Here, collapse  $a$  leads to chloride  $(S)$ -33, collapse  $b$  affords  $(S)$ -27, and collapse c yields  $(R)$ -27. Least motion preferences account for the observed dominance of (S)-33 in the product mixture and of (S)-27 over  $(R)$ -27.<sup>30</sup>



Stereochemical comparisons of the fragmentations of oxychlorocarbene (S)-32, chloroformate (S)-37, and chlorosulfite  $(S)$ -38 in CDCl<sub>3</sub>, CD<sub>3</sub>CN, and THF (for

38) indicated the intermediacy of ion pairs (e.g., 35), leading to the formation of (mainly)  $(S)$ -33 and  $(S)$ -27 with ee's ranging from  $\sim$ 20 to 90%, depending on the substrate and solvent.<sup>29</sup>

Finally, we examined the fragmentation of endo-5norbornenyl-2-oxychlorocarbene (39).<sup>32</sup> In C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>12</sub>, the products from (S)-39 include 65–70% of exo-chloride  $(R)$ -33,  $\sim$ 20% of *endo*-chloride (S)-34, and  $\sim$ 12% of 3-nortricyclyl chloride  $(R)$ -27. The dominant process,  $(S)$ -39  $\rightarrow$  (R)-33, is a S<sub>N</sub>i reaction which proceeds via the inversion TS shown in Fig. 7 ( $\Delta H^{\ddagger} = 17.1$  kcal/mol in vacuum), conserving the chirality (ee >  $95\%$ ).<sup>32</sup>



Competitively, conversion of  $(S)$ -39 to  $(S)$ -34 occurs with  $\sim$ 50% ee, in part via the computed retention S<sub>N</sub>i TS shown in Fig. 7 ( $\Delta H^{\ddagger} = 17.6$  kcal/mol in vacuum). The incursion of  $\sim 50\%$  racemization here reflects leakage to an ion pair analogous to 35, but with an endo chloride counterion, where collapse furnishes both (S)-34 and  $(R)$ -34.<sup>32</sup>

In the more polar CDCl<sub>3</sub> or CD<sub>3</sub>CN, endo-chloride  $34$ becomes a minor product  $(2-7\%)$ , while the yield of nortricyclyl chloride  $(R)$ -27 (20% ee) increases to 30–40%. We can rationalize the  $(S)$ -39  $\rightarrow$   $(R)$ -27 conversion in terms of ion pair 40, where least motion



collapse a affords the major  $(R)$ -3-nortricyclyl chloride enantiomer, while a slightly longer collapse pathway (b) leads to the minor enantiomer,  $(S)$ -27.<sup>32</sup>

Also in CDCl<sub>3</sub> or CD<sub>3</sub>CN, the stereospecificity of the dominant (S)-39  $\rightarrow$  (R)-33 conversion decays to  $\sim$ 50% ee,<sup>29</sup> rather than the >95% preservation of chirality observed in  $C_6D_{12}$ .<sup>32</sup> Again, ion pair intervention can



Scheme 4



Figure 7. B3LYP/6-31G(d) transition states for the fragmentations of endo-carbene 39 to exo-chloride 33 with inversion (left) or endo-chloride 34 with retention (right). (Reprinted with permission from ref. 32; copyright 2005 American Chemical Society)

account for the loss of stereospecificity.<sup>32</sup> Similarly, fragmentations of the corresponding endo-5-norbornenyl-2-chloroformate and chlorosulfite in these moderately polar solvents proceed to chlorides 33 and 27 with comparable ee.<sup>29</sup>

#### THE  $S_N$ i TRANSITION STATE

Above, we provide examples of the  $S_N$  fragmentations of several sec-alkoxychlorocarbenes in hydrocarbon solvents. These include the conversions of cyclohexyloxychlorocarbene (16) to cyclohexyl chloride,  $2^4$  3nortricyclyloxychlorocarbene (26) to 3-nortricyclyl chloride  $(27)^{24}$ exo-5-norbornenyl-2-oxychlorocarbene (32) to the corresponding chloride  $(33)$ ,  $30$  and *endo-5*norbornenyl-2-oxychlorocarbene (39) to exo-2-chloro-5-norbornene  $(33)$ .<sup>32</sup> Both experimental and computational results support  $S_N$ i mechanisms for these transformations.

A closer consideration of the  $S_N$ i mechanism, however, brings us back to the earlier discussions of Hughes and Ingold,<sup>1</sup> Hine,<sup>2</sup> Cram,<sup>3</sup> Gould,<sup>4</sup> Lewis,<sup>5,7</sup> Wiberg,<sup>6</sup> and Schreiner, Schleyer, and Hill.<sup>13,14</sup> Is the S<sub>N</sub>i reaction concerted, or is it best represented as proceeding via discrete ion pair intermediates? In moderately polar solvents, rearrangements and the loss of stereospecificity argue strongly for ion pairs. But do concerted  $S_Ni$ reactions occur in hydrocarbon solvents? To examine this question more closely, we focused on the fragmentation of cyclohexyloxychlorocarbene, 16. Inversion and retention transition states for the fragmentation of (equatorial) 16 appear above in Fig. 3.

Insight into the structural changes associated with the decay of the two transition structures was provided by intrinsic reaction coordinate (IRC) following methodology. IRC calculations track the lowest energy path downward from the transition structure on a potential energy surface, optimizing the geometry at each stage. They are routinely used to validate the connectivity of the TS with the reactants in one direction and the products in the other direction. Plots of the IRCs for the forward progress of the cyclohexyl inversion and retention transition structures appear in Fig. 8.

IRC following leads, in both cases, back to the starting carbene and (separately) forward to inverted or retained cyclohexyl chloride. Note that there are no intermediate stages, the computed energies along the IRC trajectories decline smoothly toward the endpoints:  $CO + (axial)$  or equatorial) cyclohexyl chloride. There are no 'steps' in these decays and no evidence for intermediates.

Nevertheless, it is apparent from Fig. 3 that both transition states are asynchronous; the C-(ring)-Cl distances  $(3.11-3.14 \text{ Å})$  are longer than the C(carbene)-Cl separations  $(2.67-2.79 \text{ Å})$ . Wiberg bond index (BI) parameters<sup>33</sup> associated with the ground and transition states are also consistent with unequal bonding changes. For example, the C(ring)-O BI changes from 0.75 to 0.13 and 0.10 for the inversion and retention TSs, respectively. At the same time, weak bonding is revealed between C(ring)-Cl at the TS, where the BI is 0.11 (inversion) and 0.21 (retention). The C(carbene)-Cl BI values change from 1.0 to 0.20 (inversion TS) and 0.21 (retention TS).



Figure 8. Intrinsic reaction coordinates for the decay of the inversion (left) and retention (right) transition states for the fragmentation of cyclohexyloxychlorocarbene to cyclohexyl chloride; cf., Fig. 3



Figure 9. B3LYP/6-31G(d) computed transition states showing the HOMOs involved in the fragmentation of carbene 16 with inversion (left) or retention (right)



Figure 10. B3LYP/6-31G(d) computed transition states showing the LUMOs involved in the fragmentation of carbene 16 with inversion (left) or retention (right)

Electrostatic interactions also contribute to the overall bonding forces. For example, charges calculated by natural population analysis methods give rise to significant polarization, with positive charge centered on the reaction terminus C(ring) and negative charge on chlorine:  $+0.22$  C,  $-0.70$  Cl (retention TS) and  $+0.21$  C,  $-0.74$  Cl (inversion TS). Very little charge resides on the carbon monoxide atoms  $[-0.05$  (retention TS) and  $-0.02$ (inversion TS)], so that the remaining ring atoms bear significant positive charge:  $+0.52$  (inversion TS) and  $+0.53$  (retention TS).

The computed HOMOs (Fig. 9) of the two transition structures are consistent with both the bond index results and charge calculations, and emphasize the concentration of electron density on chlorine as well as the absence of significant orbital overlap between chlorine and the terminal carbon centers. The 'looseness' of these transition structures provides an escape from the formal Woodward–Hoffmann 'forbiddeness' of a concerted S<sub>N</sub>i process.<sup>12,14,34</sup>

The computed LUMOs in Fig. 10 underscore the delocalized nature of the cationic character implied by the large p orbital on the C(ring) reaction terminus and additional electron deficiencies on the neighboring ring atoms.

We suggest that, in vacuum or hydrocarbon solvents, these structures span an amorphous 'no-man's land' ranging from asynchronous, charge-separated, concerted transition states to very tight ion pairs.<sup>35</sup> Moderately polar solvents transform the charge-separated transition states into real ion pair intermediates. The inherent ambiguity of the situation is very well captured in a prescient comment by Winstein, writing in 1958, before the elucidation of orbital symmetry constraints: '...some covalent character may be visualized for the cation–anion attraction in an intimate ion pair .... Because of the character of intimate ion pairs, there is no sharp distinction between such an ion pair and a covalently bound intermediate in a so-called cyclic rearrangement. These are not qualitatively distinct, but form extremes in a graded series. Thus, there is no sharp distinction between formation of an intimate ion pair followed by internal return and a cyclic rearrangement, and marginal cases may be expected.<sup>36</sup>

## **CONCLUSION**

Transition structures for  $S_N$  fragmentations of alkoxychlorocarbenes inhabit a gray zone that reflects partial asynchronous bonding coupled with charge separation. A family of closely related structures is required to represent the electronic changes associated with differing alkyl groups, counter ions, and solvent.<sup>17</sup> Experimentally, one observes product formation that reveals the influence of structure and environment on the decay pathways available for a given transition structure; that is,

concertedness in low polarity solvents and the formation of ion pair intermediates in polar solvents. This chameleon behavior accounts for the plethora of early representations of  $S_N$ i transition states, and for the continuing ambiguity today.

Given that reactions involving ion pair intermediates can be considered variations of  $S_N1$  reactions, one could argue for a more restrictive definition of  $S_N$  reactions, involving only concerted processes, that might serve to clarify the classification of these reactions. Subtle structural probes described above identify several reactions that fit this criterion, that is, transition states generated in non-polar solvents that appear to bypass cationic intermediates and to produce unique structural and stereochemical outcomes. What is beyond doubt, however, is that  $S_N$  reactions constitute a real, if limited, class of aliphatic substitution processes for which the fragmentations of alkoxychlorocarbenes provide excellent examples.

#### Acknowledgements

We thank Professor Roald Hoffmann for several helpful discussions. We are grateful to the National Science Foundation for financial support, and to the National Center for Supercomputing Applications for time on the IBM P Series 690 (Grant CHE 030060 to RRS).

#### **REFERENCES**

- 1. (a) Hughes ED, Ingold CK, Whitefield IC. Nature 1941; 147: 206–207; (b) Cowdrey WA, Hughes ED, Ingold CK, Masterman S, Scott AD. J. Chem. Soc. 1937; 1252-1271.
- 2. (a) Hine J. Physical Organic Chemistry. McGraw-Hill: New York, 1956; 114f; (b) Hine J. Physical Organic Chemistry, Second Ed. McGraw-Hill: New York, 1962; 139f.
- 3. Cram DJ. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1953; 75: 332–338.
- 4. Gould ES. Mechanism and Structure in Organic Chemistry. Holt, Rinehart and Winston: New York, 1959; 294f.
- 5. (a) Lewis ES, Boozer CE. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1952; 74: 308–311; (b) Boozer CE, Lewis ES. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1953; 75: 3182–3186.
- 6. Wiberg KB, Shryne TM. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1955; 77: 2774–2778.
- 7. Lewis ES, Herndon WC, Duffey DC. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1961; 83: 1959–1961.
- 8. Lowry TH, Richardson KS. Mechanism and Theory in Organic Chemistry, Third Ed. Harper & Row: New York, 1987.
- 9. Carey FA, Sundberg RJ. Advanced Organic Chemistry, Fourth Ed. Kluwer Academic/Plenum: New York, 2000. Reference 5a is

discussed but retention is ascribed only to dioxane; 4-center  $S_{\rm{N}}$  mechanisms do not appear nor is the term " $S_{\rm{N}}$ " mentioned.

- 10. Carroll FA. Perspectives on Structure and Mechanism in Organic Chemistry. Brooks/Cole: Pacific Grove, CA, 1998. One example is provided on p 456 in a footnote; mechanistic discussion is absent.
- Anslyn EV, Dougherty DA. Modern Physical Organic Chemistry. University Science Books: Sausalito, CA, 2006.
- 12. March J. Advanced Organic Chemistry Reactions, Mechanisms, and Structure, Fourth Ed. Wiley: New York, 1992; pp 326–327.
- 13. Schreiner PR, Schleyer PvR, Hill RK. J. Org. Chem. 1993; 58: 2822–2829.
- 14. Schreiner PR, Schleyer PvR, Hill RK. J. Org. Chem. 1994; 59: 1849–1854.
- 15. Moss RA, Ge C-S, Maksimovic L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996; 118: 9792–9793.
- 16. Moss RA. Acc. Chem. Res. 1999; 32: 969–974.
- 17. Yan S, Sauers RR, Moss RA. Organic Lett. 1999; 1: 1603–1606.
- 18. Moss RA, Tian J, Sauers RR. Organic Lett. 2004; 6: 4293–4296.
- 19. Moss RA, Zheng F, Fedé JM, Sauers RR. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004; 126: 12421–12431.
- 20. For related studies of alkyl chloroformate decomposition, See: (a) Kevill DN, Kyong JB, Weitl FL. J. Org. Chem. 1990; 55: 4304–4311; (b) Kyong JB, Yoo JS, Kevill DN. J. Org. Chem. 2003; 68: 3425–3432; (c) Kevill DN. In The Chemistry of Acyl Halides, Patai S (ed). Wiley-Interscience: New York, 1972; pp. 381–453. see especially pp. 383–399 and 422–425.
- 21. Moss RA, Zheng F, Fede´ J-M, Ma Y, Sauers RR, Toscano JR, Showalter BM. J Am. Chem. Soc. 2002; 124: 5258-5259.
- 22. Moss RA, Fu X, Zheng F, Sauers RR, Can. J. Chem. 2005; 83: 1228–1236.
- 23. Moss RA, Ma Y, Zheng F, Sauers RR, Bally T, Maltsev A, Toscano JP, Showalter BM. J. Phys. Chem. A. 2002; 106: 12280–12291.
- 24. Moss RA, Fu X, Tian J, Sauers RR, Wipf P. Organic Lett. 2005; 7: 1371–1374.
- 25. In reference 24, the  $\Delta H^{\ddagger}$  values for the retention and inversion pathways were erroneously given as 17.2 and 17.9 kcal/mol, respectively.
- 26. Moss RA, Ma Y, Sauers RR, Madni M. J. Org. Chem. 2004; 69: 3628–3634. and references therein.
- 27. Jarret RM, Veniero JC, Byrne TP, Saunders M, Laidig KE. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988; 110: 8287–8291.
- 28. Olah GA, Liang G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1975; 97: 1920–1927.
- 29. Moss RA, Fu X. Tetrahedron Lett. 2006; 47: 357–361.
- 30. Fu X, Moss RA, Sauers RR, Wipf P. Tetrahedron Lett. 2005; 46: 4265–4268.
- 31. The transition states in Fig. 6 represent fragmentations of (S)-32, but are rotated by 180° for ease of viewing.
- 32. Moss RA, Fu X, Sauers RR, Wipf P. J Org. Chem. 2005; 70: 8454–8460.
- 33. Wiberg KB. Tetrahedron 1958; 24: 1083–1096.
- 34. Woodward RB, Hoffmann R. The Conservation of Orbital Symmetry. Verlag Chemie: Weinheim, 1970.
- 35. Fragmentation of ROCCl via short-lived ion pairs in hydrocarbon solvents is supported by both experimental and computational results when  $R = cyclopropylmethyl: Moss RA, Sauers RR, Zheng$ F, Fu X, Bally T, Maltsev A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004; 126: 8466–8476.
- 36. Winstein S, Robinson GC. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1958; 80: 169–181.